# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/064,445	07/15/2002	Amir Helzer	56162.000407	5782
21967	7590 11/13/2006		EXAMINER	
HUNTON &	& WILLIAMS LLP	DU, THUAN N		
INTELLECT 1900 K STRI	'UAL PROPERTY DEPA EET, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1200		2116		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006-1109	DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/064,4	45	HELZER, AMIR				
Office Action Summary			r	Art Unit				
		Thuan N.	Du	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, breply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TO CFR 1.136(a). In no ex- tion. y period will apply and v by statute, cause the apply	HIS COMMUNICATION  yent, however, may a reply be tim  rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a  blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status								
2a)□	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 July 2002.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the applie 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are well claim(s) 25-29 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8,12,13,17,18,20-24,30-33,36  Claim(s) 9-11,14-16,19,34,35,37,38,42,4  Claim(s) are subject to restriction  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the contents.	ithdrawn from co	7-52 is/are rejected. re objected to. equirement.  objected to by the Ende held in abeyance. See led if the drawing(s) is objected.	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	t <b>(s)</b> e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/02,4/19/04.	48)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2116

#### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 1-52 are presented for examination.

# Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "Figures" stands alone in page 36.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "he data requestor" should be -- The data requestor --. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20-24, 30-33, 36, 39-41, 44 and 47-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admission of prior art and Vogley, U.S. Patent No. 5,572,722 (Vogley was submitted by applicant).

Art Unit: 2116

6. Regarding claims 1 and 52, AAPA teaches a data requestor substantially as claimed comprising:

Page 3

a clock signal generator for generating a clock signal [application's specification; pages 1-2, para. 0002];

a control signal generator for generating a control signal [application's specification; pages 1-2, para. 0002];

input sampling module receiving the clock signal and the control signal and being adapted to sample a data signal to obtain the requested data [application's specification; pages 1-2, para. 0002].

AAPA does not teach a skewed clock signal generator for generating a skewed clock signal, a skewed control signal generator for generating a skewed control signal, and sampling the data signal to obtain the requested data using the skewed clock signal and the skewed control signal.

Vogley teaches a data requestor comprising:

a skewed clock signal generator adapted to generate a skewed clock signal that is substantially equivalent to the delayed version of the original clock signal [col. 4, lines 55-63];

a skewed control signal generator adapted to generate a skewed control signal that is substantially equivalent to the delayed version of the original control signal [col. 5, lines 11-20]; and

a data storage for storing requested data read out from a synchronous data source using the skewed clock signal and the skewed control signal [col. 6, lines 22-63].

Art Unit: 2116

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of AAPA and Vogley because they both teach a system for reading out data from a synchronous data source. Vogley's teachings of using the skewed clock signal and the skewed control signal to read out data from a synchronous data source would increase the reliability of the system.

Page 4

- 7. Regarding claim 2, Vogley teaches that performing at least one process operation on the requested data based at least in part on process information associated with the request data [col. 3, lines 39-42].
- 8. Regarding claims 3 and 4, Vogley teaches that the process information includes a destination of the requested data [col. 3, lines 39-42].
- 9. Regarding claim 5, both AAPA and Vogley do not teach decryption key for decrypting the requested data. However, one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized that if the requested data is encrypted, the system obviously include decryption key for decrypting the data.
- 10. Regarding claims 6-8, claims 6-8 are directed to apparatuses implementing the data requestor of claim 1. As stated above, AAPA and Vogley teach the invention substantially as set forth in claim 1. At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that AAPA and Vogley may also teach the implementations of claim 1 as set forth in claims 6-8. As such, claims 6-8 are rejected under same rationale with respect to claim 1.
- 11. Regarding claim 12, AAPA teaches that the requestor is a memory controller and the data source is a synchronous memory device [application's specification; para. 0002].
- 12. Regarding claims 13, 17, 18 and 20-24, they do not teach or further define over the limitations recited in the rejected claims above. Therefore, claims 13, 17, 18 and 20-24 are also

rejected as being unpatentable over AAPA in view of Vogley for the same reasons set forth in the rejected claims above.

Page 5

13. Regarding claims 30-33, 36, 39-41, 44 and 47-51, since they recite method of operating of the apparatus defined in the apparatus claims, they are rejected accordingly based on the rejection of the apparatus claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 25-29 are allowed.
- 15. Claims 9-11, 14-16, 19, 34, 35, 37, 38, 42, 43, 45 and 46 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan N. Du whose telephone number is (571) 272-3673. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached at (571) 272-3676.

Central TC telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

The fax number for the organization is (571) 273-8300.

17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2116

Page 6

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

TD

November 9, 2006

THUAN N. DU